Effects of Combination of Platelet Rich Plasma and OSTEON Material in Rabbits Bone Healing (A comparative study)
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Aims: The purpose of this study is to evaluate the effects of OSTEON, PRP and the combination of both of them on the healing of experimentally induced bone defects in rabbits. Materials and Methods: Fifteen domestic rabbits were used in this study; four bone defects were made in the tibia of each rabbit. The defects were filled with OSTEON, PRP, OSTEON / PRP and the other left unfilled as control. Specimens were collected at one, two and four weeks after surgery. Radiographical and histological examinations were used to evaluate healing depending of the amount of new bone formed. Results: It was found that the OSTEON / PRP combination led to a significant healing compared to other groups at first week only. On the other hand PRP alone showed better result than OSTEON alone in the three periods. Conclusion: It was concluded that PRP plasma exert a beneficial effect on healing process when added to bone substitute material OSTEON.
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INTRODUCTION

Atrophy of alveolar bone after tooth loss is a common clinical problem and may affect esthetic, functional and structural aspects of treatment.\(^1\) Cancers of the head and neck often involve the mandible or the maxilla. This may require sectioning of bone with the tumor which leaves the patients permanently disfigured.\(^2\) Bone loss also can be a result of high energy trauma or birth defects.\(^1\)

Various types of bone grafts have been developed for maxillofacial surgery such as autogenic bone grafts, allogenic bone and xenogenicBone graft.\(^3\)

Autograft is considered as the gold standard in craniofacial surgery.\(^4\) Search for a synthetic biomaterial that is able to successfully replace normal tissue has taken a great interest to find a material that mimic one or more properties of natural bone.\(^5\) OSTEON is one of the alloplastic materials composed of hydroxyapatite (HA) 70% and beta-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP) 30% which are most close to major mineral components of human bone. OSTEON is osteoconductive material acts as a bone growth scaffold. It has interconnected porosity structure which is similar to that of human cancellous bone.\(^6\)

PRP is an autologous product that is derived from whole blood through the process of gradient density centrifugation.\(^7\) Platelets isolated growth factors
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include platelet derived growth factor (PDGF), transforming growth factors-beta (TGF-beta), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and epithelial growth factor (EGF). Being autologous, PRP is safe with no risk of disease transmission or immunogenic reactions and provides antibacterial protection. It is cost effective and can readily available at the dental clinic. (8) PRP has been used in a variety of surgical procedures in the field of oral and maxillofacial surgery such as bone grafts, implants and maxillofacial reconstructions. (9)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. OSTEON

The material used in this study, OSTEON, is bone graft material manufactured by Dentium Company, Korea. It is first released to the market on October 2005 and obtained the CE approval on May 2006. It has white color particle and it is contained within vials. It is composed of hydroxyapatite (HA) 70% and beta-tricalcium phosphate (ß-TCP) 30% which are most close to major mineral components of human bone.

2. Preparation of platelets rich plasma

A blood sample of 2 milliliters was taken from the heart of each rabbit prior to surgical procedure. Cardiac puncture in the anesthetized rabbit is done with a gauge 23 needle. The needle is inserted through the lateral chest wall at the cardiac impulse or inserted just caudal to the xiphoid cartilage. The needle is hold at 30˚ angle above the plane of the abdomen and directing it in caudocephalic direction. Once the needle is within the heart, blood will collect into the syringe. (10) The collected blood is combined with 0.5 ml of citrate dextrose phosphate anticoagulant (ACD- A) in sterile test tube to prevent coagulation before centrifuging. The blood was centrifuged first at 2000 rpm for 10 min. The plasma was drawn from the top and centrifuged for an additional 10 min. at 3200 rpm. The plasma containing the platelets was drawn from the bottom for a third cycle of centrifuge at for 5 min. at 4000 rpm to increase the concentration of platelets. The PRP then was combined with equal volume of sterile solution of CaCl2 at the time of application which activates the platelets to release the growth factors. After activation, PRP turned into gel-like solution

3. Experimental Model

Fifteen healthy male and female adult domestic rabbits weighting 1.3 - 2.5 Kg were used in this study. The animals were kept under standard conditions of feeding and housing and were given standard diet of leafy vegetables and water. This study was done in the College of Dentistry University of Mosul. The inhabitant of the animal during the experiment was the animal house of the same mentioned college. Animals' examination was performed by a veterinary physician to check general health and condition of the animal before surgical procedure. The fifteen rabbits were divided into three groups, each group contained five rabbits. The groups divided according to the healing periods (1, 2, 4 weeks) and five rabbits were sacrificed for each healing period.

4. Surgical procedure

Animals were anaesthetized with 10% ketamine (40 mg/kg) and 2% xylazine (5 mg/kg) intramuscularly. After complete anesthesia had been obtained, the animal legs hair removed and the area cleaned with hexatane solution. An incision of about 4 cm is made over the tibia below the knee joint using blade no. 11. After flap reflection, the periostem is dissected bluntly and the bone is exposed. Four small cavities were made at equal distance with 2 mm in depth and diameter. The holes were drilled by low speed drill under profound saline irrigation. The holes then dried from the blood. The anterior defect were filled with OSTEON material and gelatin sponge, the second defect filled with PRP carried by gelatin sponge, the third defect filled with gelatin sponge only and the last defect filled with OSTEON and PRP carried by gelatin sponge. The wound dried and closed with black silk suture and disinfected with Hexatane and an aluminum number was placed around the healthy leg.

5. Radiographical Observation

All specimens were subjected to radiographical examination to assess the amount of bone formation by digital radiograph system using periapical sensor and attached to it wire length 10mm for later calibration. The exposure was 63 Kv for
The percentage of bone formation was measured by three examiners in separated manner and as follows:

\[
\text{Percentage of bone formation} = \frac{\text{area of bone formation}}{\text{total defect area}} \times 100
\]

6. Histological Observation

Specimens were preserved in 10% formaldehyde, and processed to prepare slides stained with hematoxylin and eosin reagent, and examined under light microscope at power (x 100).

To assess the speed of healing, the criteria were designed as follows: Presence of osteoid, this signifies a healing process in bone. Presence of granulation tissue which make up the whole of early stages of healing with inverse relationship in the final stages by accumulation of osteoid and inflammatory cells which scattered between granulation tissue. These findings were scored semi quantitatively depending on the microscope finding of the three variables as (-) for absence, (+) for mild presence, (++) for moderate presence and (+++) for abundance.

7. Statistical Analysis:

The data were processed statistically using the SPSS version 18.0 for Windows.

RESULTS

Radiographical results

On first week postoperatively, all four defects were simply detected. After first week, no significant difference was found between the four groups. However, control group showed more radiolucent pattern. The OSTEON material particles can be detected at the bottom of the 1st and 4th defects. After two weeks, the material still appeared at the 1st and 4th defects. The OSTEON /PRP showed nearly a complete filling of the defect with new. The OSTEON and the control showed no differences in the amount of bone formation. At the fourth week postoperatively, the PRP group showed the most bone formation value followed by OSTEON / PRP, control and OSTEON as shown in (Figure1)

A- one week postoperative radiograph: All four defects were simply detected after first week. Control group show more radiolucent pattern. The material can be detected at the bottom of the 1st and 4th defects
Histopathological Results

At the first week, all groups except the OSTEON / PRP showed inflammatory reactions and abundant amount of inflammation was seen in group OSTEON alone. Granulation tissues appeared in all groups.

The PRP group showed the greatest amount of granulation tissue and the OSTEON was the least. Only the OSTEON / PRP group showed new bone formation as shown in Figure (2).

OSTEON group showed abundance of inflammation. Granulation tissue present in moderate amount at the border with newly formed blood vessels. No new bone was found.
The control defect consists of mature granulation tissue and inflammatory cells infiltrates. OSTEON showed the new bone scattered throughout the lesion with newly formed blood vessels, inflammatory cells, and granulation tissue present in this group. The OSTEON/PRP group showed new bone found at the defect border attached to the original bone and scattered throughout the defect. Areas of granulation tissue were also present.

**Figure (2):** Photomicrographs of one week Period groups (magnification power x 100): A OSTEON, B PRP, C Control, D OSTEON/PRP (GT: granulation tissue, FT: fatty tissue, BM: bone marrow, OB: old bone, BL.V: blood vessels, NB: new bone).

At the end of the second week, the new bone scattered throughout vascularized granulation tissue in all groups. Control group showed minimal amount of new bone which is thinner than other groups. Chronic inflammatory infiltrate and granulation tissue found in greater amount in control group than other groups. The OSTEON/PRP group showed nearly complete bridging of the defect with mature new osteod tissue as shown in Figure (3).

OSTEON showed the new bone scattered throughout the lesion with newly formed blood vessels, inflammatory cells, and granulation tissue present in this group. PRP group showed new bone formation on vascularised granulation tissue ground and few inflammatory cells.
At the end of fourth week, new osteod tissue was shown to fill the entire defect with little granulation tissue foci scattered throughout the new bone in all groups. OSTEON/ PRP group showed the highest amount of new bone formation. No inflammation was found in all groups as shown in Figure (4).

OSTEON group showed the new bone formed filled the entire defect with little granulation tissue foci scattered throughout the new bone. The Osteon/ PRP group showed nearly complete bridging of the defect with mature new osteod tissue and little fibrous tissue in between the new bone.

Control group showed minimal amount of new bone which is thinner than other groups. Chronic inflammatory infiltrate and granulation tissue found in greater amount than other groups.

Figure (3): Photomicrographs of two weeks Period groups (magnification power x 100): AOSTEON, B PRP, C Control, D OSTEON/ PRP.
Control section showed little granulation tissues seen throughout the newly formed bone which fills the defect. OSTEON / PRP group showed the highest amount of new bone formation and the least amount of granulation tissue.

Figure (4): Photomicrograph of fou weeks period (magnification power x 100): A OSTEON, B PRP, Control, D OSTEON/ PRP. Statistical evaluation

Statistical evaluation
Regarding to radiographical evaluation, ANOVA table for 1 week revealed that P value was 0.237 > 0.05 which indicates that there was no significant difference between groups. At the second week, P value was 0.000 < 0.05 which indicated that OSTEON / PRP group and PRP alone group showed significant difference from control and OSTEON groups. At the fourth week, P value was 0.001 < 0.05 which indicated significant difference of PRP group with OSTEON and control, but it was not significant difference with OSTEON / PRP group. On the other hand, OSTEON / PRP group was significantly different from OSTEON alone but showed no significant difference with control. OSTEON and control showed no difference Table (1).

Table (1): Mean and P values for Radiographical Findings using ANOVA and Duncan Multiple Analysis rang Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of healing Treatments</th>
<th>One Week</th>
<th>Two weeks</th>
<th>Four Weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSTEON</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70.7600</td>
<td>70.4240</td>
<td>68.6800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRP</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73.6640</td>
<td>81.5580</td>
<td>83.2640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>AB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66.9300</td>
<td>68.2080</td>
<td>75.3120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSTEON/PRP</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79.1880</td>
<td>82.9760</td>
<td>81.7920</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value
0.237   0.000*   0.001*

* mean significant at p ≤ 0.05
Capital letters mean comparison between materials
Regarding of histopathological results, ANOVA table for the first week revealed that P value for inflammation variable was 0.000 < 0.05 which indicates that Inflammation in OSTEON and Control groups was significantly different from OSTEON / PRP and PRP groups. P value for granulation tissue variable was 0.029 < 0.05 that the PRP group was significantly different from OSTEON and control. New bone formation showed P value 0.000 < 0.05 which indicated that OSTEON / PRP group was significantly different from other group. At the second week, ANOVA table revealed that P value was 0.272, 0.774, 0.588 > 0.05 for the inflammation, granulation tissue and osteod tissue variables respectively. This indicates there were no significant differences between the four groups at the second week for all variables. At the fourth week, ANOVA table revealed that P values were 0.441 and 0.446 > 0.05 for the granulation tissue and osteod tissue variables respectively. This indicates no significant difference between all groups regarding to the mentioned variables. All specimens of the four groups were out of inflammation in the 4th week so a P value was not found Table (2).

Table (2): Mean and P-values of Histological Findings for inflammation, Granulation tissue and Osteod using ANOVA and Post Hoc test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>OSTEON</th>
<th>PRP</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>OSTEON/ PRP</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>One Week</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two Weeks</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four Weeks</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>OSTEON</th>
<th>PRP</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>OSTEON/ PRP</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Granulation tissue</td>
<td>One Week</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two Weeks</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>0.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four Weeks</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

This study showed enhancement of bone formation by using combination of PRP with an osteoconductive bone substitute. PRP's growth factors have the potential to affect growth and differentiation of cells involved in bone regeneration. At the same time, the wound site will benefit from the osteoconductive and space available by OSTEON material. PRP contains high concentration of fibrin, this will produce a sticky property that works as stabilizing factor for blood clot and bone graft that prevent their immobilization at wound site. Bone substitutes may play a role in keeping the level of growth factor that is required for the events leading to bone formation. Ilgenli, 2007 proposed that when two distinct wound healing principles applied together in one clinical situation. This will enhance and fastening healing process. Many studies found that there was better results by adding PRP to different bone substitutes material. This study also showed better results when using a combination method. Other studies found no benefits were gained from a combination treatment.

Although OSTEON / PRP showed increase amount of bone formation, it was not significantly different with PRP alone except on the first week. PRP provides a high level of growth factors exceeds those released by the body and so accelerate healing. The efficacy of PRP lies in their ability to locally deliver a wide range of growth factors and proteins which supporting reparative tissue processes. The majority of the presynthesized bioactive proteins are released within one hour of clot formation. The additional amount released by PRP could increase the rate of precursor cells migration and proliferation within hours and days. The activated platelets will be able to produce and secrete these protein factors for 5-10 days. PRP considered as an easy and relatively cheap way to obtain these various cytokine and growth factors as they can prepared intra-operatively. This study showed that application of PRP to the bone defects will enhance the new bone formation; the same finding by Marx (2004), Pessoa et al. (2009), Berghoff et al. (2006), Graziani et al. (2006), Verrier et al, (2010).

Other studies done by Casati 2007 and Sarkar 2006 who stated that there was no benefit obtained by adding PRP to the defect site.

Tricalcium phosphate (TCP), hydroxyapatite (HA) and other bone substitutes have been used as scaffolds for the repair of bone defects. Most of these biomaterials were found to be too rigid or fragile. On the other hand, bioabsorbable materials are designed to degrade and disappear over a short period of time, so it will have a low mechanical strength. This can be applied to our material which consists of HA & TCP. Our study found that using OSTEON alone is not an effective therapy for treatment of bone defects. Kim et al. (2008) showed that no significant difference
was observed when using OSTEON graft material, which is the same finding in this study. As a result, the study showed that treatment of bone defect with OSTEON alone is not considered as an effective treatment. Adding of PRP to the material will enhance the healing and gives better results due the additive effect of the action of concentrated growth factors.

On the other hand, two millimeters bone defect of the rabbit's leg is not a critical-sized defect(19), as at second and fourth weeks most of the defects were already closed with new bone. Further research with defect sized more than 2 millimeters is required to confirm this result.

CONCLUSION
Combination of PRP with osteoconductive bone substitute material OSTERON can accelerate bone defect healing by enhance early new bone formation..
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